IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.7405 OF 2006

Ramchandra Anant Pradhan .. Petitioner.
Vs.
Dr.Narendra A.Mulay & Ors. .. Respondents.

Mr.Madhav Jamdar for the petitioner.
Mr.Nitin P.Deshpande for respondent nos.1 and 5.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.
DATED : 9TH FEBRUARY, 2007

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. By this writ petition, the petitioner has impugned the following order:

> . "Perused application and say exh.100. Heard learned adv. perused notes of argument.
> . This is a suit by landlord against plaintiff/tenant for possession.
> . This application is under section 14 of Mah.Rent Control Act.
> . No doubt landlord is legally requires to keep let out premises in tenantable condition. He has to do necessary repairs. But in case landlord failed to comply legal obligations, law itself has provided remedy, allowing the tenant to carry requisite repairs and even deduct expenses of repair from rent out.
> . No permission of court is contemplated by law.
> . Hence, application stands rejected."

3. I perused the application. In the application, the petitioner has made two prayers. Looking to the impugned order, in my opinion, the second prayer in the application at Exhibit-93 stands

satisfied. I find absolutely no reason to interfere in such order under Article 227 of the Constitution of India hence the writ petition is dismissed.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)